

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well

under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$

*Proof.* which implies that the sequence  $S[\phi_k]^{1/k}$  converges. (It is close to a decreasing sequence.) For surfaces,  $S$  does not behave as well under covers, but we still have that if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a cover of  $\phi$ , then  $S[\phi] \leq S[\tilde{\phi}] \leq \max(1, S[\phi])$   $\square$